Food Stamp Participation and the Economic Well-Being of Single Mothers

In new research entitled *Food Stamps and AFDC: A Double Life-Line for Low-Income Working Single Mothers*, the Institute for Women’s Policy Research (IWPR) shows that eligible families of single working mothers are more likely to participate in the Food Stamp Program during the months in which they receive Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC). The study also shows that major losses in family purchasing power occur when they do not receive food stamp benefits but are eligible to do so.

**Food Stamp Program**

The primary goal of the Food Stamp Program, administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture’s Food and Nutrition Service, is to increase the purchasing power of low-income households and the quality of their diet by providing monthly “stamps” that can be exchanged for food items. Prior research suggests that food stamps do increase the nutritional well-being of poor families, that the availability of all nutrients to the household is positively associated with food stamp benefit levels, and that the dietary effects of changes in benefits are considerably larger than those due to changes in cash income.

A second goal of the program is to strengthen the food industry and the agricultural sector of the economy by encouraging low-income individuals to increase their consumption and purchase of food items.

**Proposed Cuts**

In recent months Congress has proposed subjecting both the Food Stamp and the AFDC programs to cutbacks in financing, exclusions of currently eligible participants, curtailments in benefits, and decreases in the federal role in setting minimum standards. The impact of cuts in either of these programs is likely to be a drop in the Food Stamp Program rolls. What is especially troubling is that decreases in the AFDC rolls will likely lead to declines in food stamp participation, even when families remain eligible for the program. Thus, cuts in AFDC could have a doubly negative effect on the living standards of single mothers and their families.

To determine if this concern is warranted, the IWPR study examines the importance of AFDC receipt as a predictor of food stamp participation among single working mothers who receive AFDC.

**Study Sample**

The study uses the 1984, 1986, 1987, and 1988 panels of the U.S. Census Bureau’s Survey of Income and Program Participation (SIPP). The SIPP is a panel survey that tracks a nationally representative sample of respondents for approximately 30 months, revealing changes in their work behavior, jobs, earnings, family structures, and participation in government assistance programs.

Our sample consists of 502 single mothers who received AFDC for at least two months during a 24-month period and who worked for at least 300 hours. These women represent 1.2 million working single AFDC recipients with an estimated 2.3 million children. On average, these mothers—referred to as work/welfare packagers—are employed for 1,903 hours and receive AFDC for 14 months over the two year study period. The work/welfare packagers are divided into two subgroups—cyclers and simultaneous combiners. Cyclers tend to cycle between work and welfare reliance, receiving their income from these sources sequentially rather than simultaneously. Simultaneous combiners, by contrast, tend to receive both earnings and welfare benefits at the same
to receive both earnings and welfare benefits at the same
time, combining these two sources of income for at least
four months of the survey. For each of these groups, we
examine food stamp usage for eligible participants un-der
four conditions: 1) months in which they receive
AFDC only; 2) months in which they neither receive
AFDC nor engage in paid employment; 3) months in
which they combine AFDC receipt with paid employ-
ment, and 4) months in which they engage in paid em-
ployment but do not receive AFDC.

Relationship Between Eligibility and Usage

The study found that participation in the AFDC pro-
gram has a strong and independent effect on the likeli-
hood that those individuals who are eligible for food
stamps receive this benefit. Eligible combiners and cy-
clers are at least eight times more likely to participate in
the Food Stamp Program during months in which they
receive AFDC than in months in which they do not (data
from regression analysis not shown). The study also
found that food stamp participation rates are higher
among eligible combiners than among eligible cyclers,
with 81 percent of the former participating in the pro-
gram compared to 65 per-
cent of the latter. The
higher food stamp partici-
pation rate for eligible com-
biners may be explained by
their longer period on the
AFDC rolls and by their
greater likelihood of expe-
riencing prior AFDC spells.
In other words, eligible
combiners are more likely
to have access to food
stamps through the AFDC
certification process and
cereal have greater knowl-
edge of the Food Stamp
Program through their prior
participation in AFDC.

Even eligible combiners,
half, however, were about
as likely to receive food
stamps in those months in
which they were employed but did not receive AFDC
than in those months in which they packaged these two
income sources (with 51 percent and 88 percent partici-
patation rates, respectively—see Figure 1). Food stamp
participation rates among both eligible combiners and
cyclers were lowest in the months when they neither re-
ceived AFDC nor engaged in paid employment. These
months were the months during which these families were the
most impoverished. These months may represent tran-
sition periods with lags between qualification and ac-
tual receipt of benefits.

Poverty, Loss of Purchasing Power, and Food Stamp
Receipt Patterns

IWPR findings show that, despite their efforts to pu-
together a variety of sources into an income packag-
the families of combiners and cyclers have average it
comes that are below the poverty line for families of
their size. As expected, food stamp receipt improves
the living standards for eligible families. Overall, foo-
stamp receipt increases the level of family income for
these families from 65 percent to 88 percent of the pov-
erty line (see Table 1). These food-stamp eligible fam-
Table 1.
Effect of Food Stamps on Reducing Family Poverty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern of AFDC, Food Stamp, and Earnings Receipt</th>
<th>Family* Income plus Food Stamp Benefits (if received) as a percent of the Poverty Line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Packagers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Sample</td>
<td>1,198,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months with food stamps</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months eligible but not receiving</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months with AFDC, no earnings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months receiving food stamps</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months eligible but not receiving</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months with AFDC and earnings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months receiving food stamps</td>
<td>115%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months eligible but not receiving</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months with earnings, no AFDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months receiving food stamps</td>
<td>103%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months eligible but not receiving</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months with neither AFDC nor earnings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months receiving food stamps</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Months eligible but not receiving</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Family is defined as the actual or potential food unit.

Estimates are most likely to escape poverty in months when they package paid employment, AFDC, and food stamps. During these months, their income averages 115 percent of the poverty line (including the cash value of food stamps). During the months when mothers receive neither earnings from employment nor AFDC benefits, when their families experience the worst levels of poverty, food stamps double their family income from 24 percent to 49 percent of the poverty line.

These working welfare mothers may sometimes fail to participate in the Food Stamp Program because they lack knowledge about the program or are unaware that they qualify for benefits, or because the transaction costs of receiving benefits in months when their earnings are greater may be high. Due to such factors, in addition to lags between qualification and benefit receipt, these women and their families lose an average of $814 (in 1990 dollars) in food stamp benefits over the 24-month study period. When multiplied by the total population of combiner and cycler families, these losses amount to $975 million dollars in lost purchasing power over a 24-month period, or close to $488 million per year (see Figure 2). Eligible cyclers lose twice as much as eligible combiners, and both groups lose the most during periods when they do not receive AFDC.

**Figure 2**
Estimated Purchasing Power Lost as a Result of Non-Receipt of Food Stamps
(in 1990 dollars, over a 24-month period)

![Graph showing estimated purchasing power lost](image)

stamp rolls starts when these single mothers experience decreases in their own earnings as a result of job loss, wage declines, or decreased hours of paid employment. These declines in earnings result in subsequent drops into poverty for their families, followed by a simultaneous movement onto the AFDC and food stamp rolls.

A second pattern begins with the break-up of families, births of additional children, or the loss of earnings by family members other than the mother. This pattern is more likely to occur among cyclers. These changes result in the need for additional financial resources. The mothers in these families try to compensate for losses in income and to provide additional resources by entering the AFDC and food stamp rolls.

The sequence of events that results in exits from the Food Stamp Program appears to be the mirror image of entries, with increases in employment and reformulation of families often triggering these exits. The most common exit pattern for both cyclers and combiners occurs when the mother improves her employment situation and her earnings increase.

Policy Conclusions

Both the Food Stamp and the AFDC programs are extremely vulnerable to cutbacks in funding, tightening of eligibility requirements, and loss of federal control over the programs if many current proposals are enacted. The results of this study, done under current (1995) eligibility rules and benefit levels, suggest a double negative effect on the well-being of single mothers and their children if such proposals are implemented. As the AFDC program is transformed into a transitional employment program and as job losses are less likely to be cushioned by AFDC receipt, food stamp participation rates among eligible recipients are likely to fall. Families’ nutritional standards are likely to decline unless programmatic changes that emphasize outreach are implemented. If the proposed cutbacks in the Food Stamp Program become law, outreach programs that encourage food stamp use are unlikely to be implemented. As a result, lack of awareness of eligibility will increase while a major gateway to the Food Stamp Program, AFDC, closes. Furthermore, as a result of a likely emphasis on cutting food stamp costs, fewer poor single mothers will likely be eligible for the program and the amounts they receive will be smaller.

Given these likely outcomes, food stamps will have a much smaller impact on poverty reduction and on increasing purchasing power than our findings based on current policy show. The greatest losses in well-being may occur among those who are the poorest—those who neither receive AFDC nor find employment. These losses in purchasing power will likely not only decrease the well-being of poor families but are also likely to result in substantial lost revenues to the food industry.

---
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